

RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT
OF THE GENETIC MODIFICATION
ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC)
FOR
AN APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL FOR
RELEASE OF PRODUCTS OF
DP202216 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR
OFFER TO SUPPLY

NBB REF NO: JBK(S) 600-2/1/21

APPLICANT: DU PONT PRODUCTION
AGRISCIENCE (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD.

DATE: 12 JANUARY 2022

I - Summary of Assessment Process

On 22 September 2021, the Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC), received from the Department of Biosafety an application for the approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism increased yield and herbicide tolerant DP202216 maize. The application was filed by Du Pont Production Agriscience (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (hereafter referred to as “the applicant”). After an initial review, GMAC requested for additional information from the applicant.

A public consultation for this application was conducted from 20 April 2021 to 27 May 2021 via advertisements in the local newspapers, e-mail announcements and social media. Comments were received from Consumers Association of Penang (CAP) and Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB). GMAC took into consideration the comments from CAP regarding molecular characterization, safety assessment and risk of herbicide residue in the DP202216 maize. GMAC also took into consideration the comments from MPOB on the need for proper emergency response plan, labelling and surveillance on the DP202216 maize.

GMAC had four (4) meetings pertaining to this application and prepared the Risk Assessment Report and Risk Assessment Matrix along with its recommended decision, for consideration by the National Biosafety Board.

II - Background of Application

This application is for approval to import and release products of a Living Modified Organism increased yield and herbicide tolerant DP202216 maize. The aim of the import and release is to supply or offer to supply for sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP). DP202216 maize is approved in a few countries including the United States of America, Australia, New Zealand and Canada for food and in United States of America and Canada for feed. The type of expected use of the products derived from DP202216 maize in Malaysia will be the same as the expected usage for products derived from conventional maize. Potential users of products derived from DP202216 maize such as grains are feed millers, food processors and other industrial use.

Information about DP202216 maize

The recipient or parental plant is *Zea mays* L. spp *mays* (field or sweet maize). Maize is extensively cultivated and has a long history of safe use as a food or feed. It is one of the largest cultivated crop in the world followed by wheat (*Triticum* sp.) and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in total global metric ton production (FAOSTAT, 2016).

Genetically modified DP202216 maize is a new event that has been transformed with a single genetic construct containing the *zmm28* gene isolated from maize and the *phosphinothricin acetyltransferase* gene (*mo-pat*) isolated from *Streptomyces viridochromogenes*. The introduced *zmm28* gene increases and extends expression of the ZMM28 protein resulting in plants with enhanced grain yield potential. The *mo-pat* gene encodes the PAT protein which confers tolerance to the herbicide glufosinate.

III - Risk Assessment and Risk Management Plan

GMAC evaluated the application with reference to the following documents:

- (i) CODEX Guideline for the Conduct of Food Safety Assessment of Foods Derived from Recombinant-DNA Plants.
- (ii) Roadmap for Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms, (according to Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety produced by the *Ad Hoc* Technical Expert Group (AHTEG) on Risk Assessment and Risk Management of the Convention on Biological Diversity).
- (iii) The risk assessment and risk management plan submitted by the applicant.

GMAC also referred to the following recommendations within the AHTEG guidelines:

- (i) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the details of this particular application
- (ii) That the risk assessment exercise be specific to the receiving environment in question, and
- (iii) That any risk identified be compared against that posed by the unmodified organism.

In conducting the risk assessment, GMAC identified potential hazards, and then added a value/rank for the likelihood of each hazard as well as its consequences. The likelihood of each hazard occurring was evaluated qualitatively on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'highly unlikely', and 4 for 'highly likely'. The consequences of each hazard, if it were to occur, were then evaluated on a scale of 1 to 4, with 1 for 'marginal' and 4 to denote a 'major consequence'. A value was finally assigned for the overall risk from the identified potential hazard. The general formula: Overall Risk = Likelihood x Consequence was employed. GMAC also proposed risk management strategies for potential hazards, where appropriate. This methodology of assessment follows the procedure of Risk Assessment in Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

The potential hazards were identified in three main areas:

(i) **Effects on human health**

Relevant scientific publications on the genetic modifications were reviewed for potential human health risks and issues pertaining to acute toxicity of novel protein / altering / interference of metabolic pathways, potential allergenicity of the novel protein, reproductive toxicity, potential transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in digestive tract, pathogenic potential of donor microorganisms and nutritional equivalence.

(ii) **Effects on animal health**

Issues pertaining to allergenicity, toxicity, anti-nutritional, survivability and animal product contamination.

(iii) **Effects on the environment**

Issues pertaining to accidental release of seeds, unintentional release and planting, potential of transgenes being transferred to bacteria (soil bacteria, bacterial flora of animal gut), increased fitness, weediness and invasiveness, accumulation of the protein in the environment via feces from animals fed with the GM plant/grain, cross pollination leading to transfer of transgenes and toxic effect on non-target organisms were examined

Based on the above, a final list of 19 potential hazards was identified. All of these hazards were rated as having an Overall Risk of 1 or “negligible”.

GMAC also took caution and discussed a few of the hazards that required further evaluation and data acquisition. Some of these risks are expected to be managed effectively with the risk management strategies proposed (please refer to section IV of this document).

Some of the potential hazards are highlighted below along with the appropriate management strategies:

a) Accidental release of viable seeds

Seeds may be accidentally released during transportation. These seeds can germinate and grow along transportation routes and in areas surrounding storage and processing facilities (JBK Report Number No. 04, 2015). In the conducive warm and humid climate of Malaysia, there is a high likelihood of these volunteers maturing to the flowering and seed-setting stages. Although maize is not grown as an economic crop in Malaysia and there are no wild relatives, some varieties of maize are cultivated on small scales. Thus, there is a likelihood of outcrossing of the

GM maize with this cultivated maize. Repeated cycles of spill-and-growth also increase the likelihood for the development of feral GM populations.

b) Planting of seeds

Plants may be grown by uninformed farmers and perpetuated through small scale cultivations. This GM maize may pollinate the non-GM maize. There should also be clear labeling of the product to state that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.

c) Compromised nutritional content

Compositional analyses of the forage and grain samples showed no significant difference in nutritional composition between DP202216 maize and conventional maize.

However, applicant is required to update the National Biosafety Board immediately if additional information indicate potential adverse effects from the DP202216 maize.

IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval

Based on the 19 potential hazards identified and assessed, GMAC has drawn up the following terms and conditions to be included in the certificate of approval for the release of this product:

- a) There shall be clear documentation by the exporter describing the product which shall be declared to the Royal Malaysian Customs.
- b) There shall be clear labeling of the product from importation to all levels of marketing stating that it is only for the purpose of food, feed and processing, and is not to be used as planting material.
- c) Should the approved person receives any credible and/or scientifically proven information that indicates any adverse effect of DP202216 maize, the National Biosafety Board shall be informed immediately.
- d) Any spillage (during loading/unloading/transportation) shall be collected and cleaned up immediately.
- e) Transportation of the consignment from the port of entry to any destination within the country shall be in secured and closed condition.

V - Other Regulatory Considerations

- a) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety, Royal Malaysian Customs Department and relevant agencies to ensure accurate declaration of product information and clear labeling of the product is implemented.
- b) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) to impose post entry requirements for accidental spillage involving the GM product.
- c) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between the Department of Biosafety and the Malaysian Quarantine and Inspection Services (MAQIS) and other competent agencies to impose post entry requirements for food safety compliance.
- d) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out between the Department of Biosafety and the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) so that any unanticipated adverse effects in animals caused by any consumption of the GM products shall be reported immediately.
- e) Administrative regulatory arrangements shall be carried out by Food Safety and Quality of Ministry of Health to monitor compliance to the Food Act 1983 and Food Regulations 1985; and GM food labelling guidelines.
- f) Administrative regulatory procedures shall be arranged between Department of Biosafety and Ministry of Health to ensure that herbicide residues in maize consignments are below the acceptable maximum residual level established. It is recommended that importers are required to provide certificate of analysis for herbicide residues prior to shipment.

VI - Identification of issues to be addressed for long term use release of this product

- a) Continuous monitoring is required from the approved person and any unanticipated adverse effect caused by the DP202216 maize shall be reported to the National Biosafety Board.

VII –Conclusion and Recommendation

GMAC has conducted a thorough evaluation of the application for approval for importation for release [sale/placing on the market for direct use as food, feed and for processing (FFP)] of a product of a Living Modified Organism increased yield and herbicide tolerant DP202216 maize and has determined that the release of this product does not endanger biological diversity or human, animal and plant health. GMAC recommends that the proposed application for release

be **APPROVED WITH TERMS AND CONDITIONS** as listed in section IV - Proposed Terms and Conditions for Certificate of Approval.

VIII - Bibliography

1. Anderson J, Brustkern S, Cong B, Deege L, Delaney B, Hong B, Lawit S, Mathesius C, Schmidt J, Wu J, Zhang J, Zimmermann C (2019) Evaluation of the History of Safe Use of the Maize ZMM28 Protein. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 67: 7466-7474
2. Abba, E. J. and A. Lovato, 1999. Effect of seed storage temperature and relative humidity on maize (*Zea mays* L.) seed viability and vigour. *Seed Science and Technology* 27:101-114.
3. Andersson MS, de Vicente MC (2010). Maize, Corn (*Zea mays* L.). In *Gene Flow between Crops and Their Wild Relatives*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, pp 255-291
4. Burzio, L.A., J.S. McClain and A. Silvanovich. 2008. Bioinformatics Evaluation of the CSPB Protein Utilizing the AD8, TOXIN6, and PROTEIN Databases. Monsanto Technical Report MSL0021261. St. Louis, Missouri.
5. Carlson AB, Mukerji P, Mathesius CA, Huang E, Herman RA, Hoban D, Thurman JD, Roper JM. DP-202216-6 maize does not adversely affect rats in a 90-day feeding study. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2020 Nov;117:104779. doi: 10.1016/j.yrtph.2020.104779. Epub 2020 Sep 2. PMID: 32888975.
6. CERA - ILSI Research Foundation (2011) A Review of the Environmental Safety of the PAT Protein. International Life Sciences Institute, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment
7. CERA - ILSI Research Foundation (2016) A Review of the Food and Feed Safety of the PAT Protein. International Life Sciences Institute, Center for Environmental Risk Assessment
8. CFIA (1994). The biology of *zea mays* (L.) (maize). BIO1994-11. <http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/plaveg/bio/dd/dd9933e.shtml>
9. Conner et al. (2003). The Release of Genetically Modified Crops into the Environment. *Plant J*. 2003 Jan;33(1):1-18
10. Crawley, M.J., S.L. Brown, R.S. Hails, D.D. Koh and M. Rees (2001). Transgenic crops in natural habitats. *Nature* 409:682-683.
11. de Vries J, Wackernagel W (2004). Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. *Plant and Soil* 266: 91-104
12. FAO-WHO (1991). Strategies for assessing the safety of foods produced by biotechnology. *Report of joint FAO/WHO consultation*. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
13. FAO-WHO (2001). Evaluation of allergenicity of genetically modified foods. *Report of a joint FAO/WHO expert consultation on allergenicity of foods derived from biotechnology*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.

14. Fuchs, R.L., J.E. Ream, B.G. Hammond, M.W. Naylor, R.M. Leimgruber and S.A. Berberich. 1993. Safety assessment of the neomycin phosphotransferase II (NPTII) protein. *Bio/Technology* 11:1543-1547
15. Galinat, W.C. (1988). The origin of corn. Pages 1-31 in *Corn and Corn Improvement. Third Edition*. G.F. Sprague and J.W. Dudley (eds.). American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Crop Science Society of America, Inc., Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
16. Goodman, M.M. (1988). The history and evolution of maize. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 7: 197-220.
17. Harrison, L.A., M.R. Bailey, M.W. Naylor, J.E. Ream, B.G. Hammond, D.L. Nida, B.L. Burnette, T.E. Nickson, T.A. Mitsky, M.L. Taylor, R.L. Fuchs and S.R. Padgett (1996). The expressed protein in glyphosate-tolerant soybean, 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase from *Agrobacterium* sp. strain CP4, is rapidly digested in vitro and is not toxic to acutely gavaged mice. *Journal of Nutrition* 126:728-740.
18. Hérouet C, Esdaile DJ, Mallyon BA, Debruyne E, Schulz A, Currier T, Hendrickx K, van der Klis R-J, Rouan D (2005) Safety evaluation of the phosphinothricin acetyltransferase proteins encoded by the pat and bar sequences that confer tolerance to glufosinate-ammonium herbicide in transgenic plants. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* 41: 134-149
19. James, C. (2015). Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2015. ISAAA Briefs No. 51. International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications, Ithaca, New York.
20. Kees, P. (2008). Risk from GMOs due to Horizontal Gene Transfer. *Environ Biosafety Res.* 2008 Jul-Sep;7(3):123-49. doi: 10.1051/ebr:2008014
21. Luna, V. S., J. Figueroa, M., B. Baltazar, M., R. Gomez, L., R. Townsend, and J. B. Schoper (2001). Maize pollen longevity and distance isolation requirements for effective pollen control. *Crop Sci.* 41:1551–1557
22. Messeguer, J., Salvia, J., Palau-del-màs, M., Melé, E., Serra, J., Peñas, G. et. al. (2006). Pollen-mediated gene flow in maize in real situations of coexistence. *Plant Biotech J* 4: 633–645.
23. Nielsen, K.M. (1998). Barriers to horizontal gene transfer by natural transformation in soil bacteria. *APMIS* 106: 77-84
24. Nielsen KM, Van Elsas JD, Smalla K (2000) Transformation of *Acinetobacter* sp. Strain BD413 (pFG4ΔnptII) with Transgenic Plant DNA in Soil Microcosms and Effects of Kanamycin on Selection of Transformants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66: 1237-1242
25. OECD. 2000. Report of the task force for the safety of novel foods and feeds. C(2000)86/ADD1. Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
26. OECD (2002). Consensus document on compositional considerations for new varieties of maize (*Zea mays*): Key food and feed nutrients, anti-nutrients and secondary plant

- metabolites. ENV/JM/MONO (2002)25. *Series on the Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds, No. 6.* Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
27. OECD (2003). Consensus Document on the Biology of *Zea mays* subsp. *Mays* (maize). ENV/JM/MONO(2003)11. Series on Harmonisation of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology, No. 27. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France.
28. Roberts, A., Y. Devos, A. Raybould, P. Bigelow and A. Gray (2014). Environmental risk assessment of GE plants under low-exposure conditions. *Transgenic Research* 23:971-983.
29. Rooney, L.W. and S.O. Serna-Saldivar (2003). Food uses of whole corn and dry-milled fractions. Pages 495-535 in *Corn: Chemistry and Technology*. Second Edition. P.J. White and L.A. Johnson (eds.). American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, Minnesota.
30. Shaw, R.H. (1988). Climate requirement. In: Sprague, G.F., Stelly, M., Fuccillo, D.A., Perelman, L.S., Dudley, J.W. (Eds.), *Corn and corn improvement*. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp. 609-638
31. U.S. FDA. 1998. Guidance for industry: Use of antibiotic resistance marker genes in transgenic plants. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Washington, D.C. <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/opa-armg.html> [Accessed December 4, 2008].
32. Van Eenennaam AL, Young AE (2014) Prevalence and impacts of genetically engineered feedstuffs on livestock populations. *Journal of Animal Science* 92: 4255-4278
33. Wahab, A. (2016). Malaysia - Grain and Feed Annual. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service, Washington, D.C. <http://gain.fas.usda.gov/Recent%20GAIN%20Publications/Grain%20and%20Feed%20Annual%20Kuala%20Lumpur%20Malaysia%202-26-2016.pdf>.

**GENETIC MODIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GMAC) MEMBERS INVOLVED IN
SPECIFIC RISK ASSESSMENT AREAS FOR THE APPROVAL FOR RELEASE OF
PRODUCTS OF DP202216 MAIZE FOR SUPPLY OR OFFER TO SUPPLY**

Genetic Modification Advisory Committee (GMAC) members divided the task of looking up more information for the Risk Assessment matrix based on three broad categories which were environment, human health and animal health. Each sub-committee had a nominated leader to coordinate the work and report back to the main GMAC. The GMAC members involved in the risk assessment are as below:

- **Prof. Dr. Mohd. Faiz Foong bin Abdullah (Universiti Teknologi MARA) (GMAC Chairman)**
- **Dr. Kodi Isparan Kandasamy (Industry Representative) (Environment sub-committee Leader)**
- **Madam T.S. Saraswathy (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Human Health sub-committee Leader)**
- **Prof. Dr Jothi Malar Panandam (Universiti Putra Malaysia - retired) (Animal Health sub-committee Leader)**
- **Dr. Rahizan Issa (Institute of Medical Research - retired) (Notification Assessment sub-committee Leader)**
- Dato' Dr. Sim Soon Liang (Academy of Sciences Malaysia)
- Prof. Dr. Abd Rahman Milan (Universiti Malaysia Sabah - retired)
- Prof. Dr. Chan Kok Gan (Universiti Malaya)
- Assoc. Prof. Dr. Choong Chee Yen (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia)
- Dr. Adiratna Mat Ripen (Institute of Medical Research)
- Dr. Norliza Tendot Abu Bakar (Malaysian Agricultural Research & Development Institute)
- Dr. Norwati Muhammad (Forest Research Institute of Malaysia)
- Dr. Saifullizam bin Abdul Kadir (Department of Veterinary Services)
- Dr. Teo Tze Min (Entomological Society of Malaysia)
- Dr. Mohd Hefni Rusli (Malaysian Palm Oil Board)
- Madam Shafini Abu Bakar (Ministry of Health)
- Madam Sabariah Kamis (Department of Agriculture)
- Mr. Harun bin Ahmad (Department of Chemistry Malaysia)